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EDITORIAL

It is my proud privilege to welcome you all to the TheIRES International Conference at Zurich, Switzerland. I am happy to see the papers from all part of the world and some of the best paper published in this proceedings. This proceeding brings out the various Research papers from diverse areas of Science, Engineering, Technology and Management. This platform is intended to provide a platform for researchers, educators and professionals to present their discoveries and innovative practice and to explore future trends and applications in the field Science and Engineering. However, this conference will also provide a forum for dissemination of knowledge on both theoretical and applied research on the above said area with an ultimate aim to bridge the gap between these coherent disciplines of knowledge. Thus the forum accelerates the trend of development of technology for next generation. Our goal is to make the Conference proceedings useful and interesting to audiences involved in research in these areas, as well as to those involved in design, implementation and operation, to achieve the goal.

I once again give thanks to the Institute of Research and Journals, TheIIER, TheIRES for organizing this event in Zurich, Switzerland. I am sure the contributions by the authors shall add value to the research community. I also thank all the International Advisory members and Reviewers for making this event a Successful one.

Editor-In-Chief

Dr. P. Suresh
M.E, Ph.D. Professor and Controller of Examinations,
Karpagam College of Engineering.,
Coimbatore, India
THE BOWORADET REBELLION, 1933
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Abstract - The 1932 Siamese Revolution was a crucial turning point in 20th century Thai history. The revolution was changed the system of government in Siam from The Old regime - an absolute monarchy to The New Regime - The constitutional monarchy. However, a serious royalist revolt broke out led by Prince Boworadet, who pro- the old regime. Also known as the Boworadet Rebellion.

Index Terms - The 1932 Siamese Revolution, Boworadet Rebellion

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1932, People's Party carried out a revolution (overthrowing the absolute monarchy in Siam [1]) which was regarded as a crucial turning point 20th-century Thai history. After the establishment of a new regime, a series of counter-revolutionary crisis threatening the constitutional government. Democracy for Siam was, however, to be given to the people in installments. However, Though the aftermath of The Siamese Revolution of June 24, 1932 the Counter-Revolution was began.

The Boworadet rebellion was royalist revolt broke out led by Prince Boworadet, who had been defense minister during the previous pro-royalist regime which was overthrown in 1932. Also known as the Boworadet Rebellion. The fighting lasted from October 11 to October 23, and resulted in the loyalist government forces defeating the rebels.

II. LITERATURE REVIEWS

The two perspectives on The Boworadet rebellion.

1) Traditional views

In general, The Boworadet rebellion was regarded as democratic movement to counter 1932 Siam Revolution. Most academics in 1970, Thawat Mokarapong (1972) and Chaianan Samuthawanit. (1974) interpreted this movement was democratic movement. They assumed that government after the revolution was dictatorship hence the Boworadet movement was true democratic movement. The rebellion proclaimed that its armed struggle against the government was intended to establish real democracy in the country.

2) Revisionist views

Prince Boworadet‘smotives was generally regarded as royalist and reactionary. After, The 1932 Revolution the Royal Families and the Royalist were lost their all power. Revisionist view provided by Nattapoll Chaiching(2010), a Political scientist from Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University and Federico Ferrara (2012), a Political scientist from Hongkong University, proposed that that the Royalist were the head of anti-democratic regime conspiracy. Based on verdict report of the special court of 1939 and witnesses’ memoirs, Chaiching argues that they established a large anti-Revolution underground network, secret agents, assassins, military officers, civil servants and journalists- all of them loyal to the old regime. Chaiching defends the validity of the sources writing that royalist witnesses are more likely to tell truth as the political atmosphere at that time.

The formation of the rebellion

The first political conflict between the royalists and aristocrats and the People's Party erupted in the following year over the government's proposed economic plan drafted by Pridi Phanomyong, one of the promoters of the 1932 Coup and the famous leader of the civilian faction in the party. Essentially his economic plan called for the nationalization of land, labor and capital by the state in order to develop the country independently. The heated debate and subsequently strong opposition to the economic policy from the royalist group, particularly King Prajadhipok/ King Rama VII himself, resulted in a temporary exile of Pridi to Europe. Yet conflicts continued within the coalition government until the military faction of the People's Party seized the government and ousted the royalist-aristocrat group from positions in the government.

Further political struggles between these two major groups only resulted in the more violent and profound hatred and distrust between members of the two different social and political classes. The "Boworadet Rebellion".

Prince Boworadet ( a German-educated minor member of the Royal Family, had been Minister of Defense and the Siamese Ambassador to France. He lost his positions following the Revolution of 1932. An ardent royalist, he was furious that anyone was allowed to sue the King. This added to his discontent of Phraya Phahon’s coup against Phraya Manopakorn and his support of Pridi against the monarchy. The Prince secretly plotted with Colonel Phraya Sri Sitthi Songkhram, commander of the Armed Forces of
Bangkok, and other senior military officers to stage a
coup d’état to unseat the government and replace it
with a more traditional one. When the People's Party
took over, the older right-wing military officers had
been unceremoniously pushed out and replaced by
younger coup members.

Another prominent counter-revolutionary leader was
Phraya Sri Sitthi Songkhram, a former close friend of
Phaya Phahon, who also receive his military
education in Germany. Like Prince Borowadet, he
also refused to join the 1932 revolution, but he
anticipated his revolutionary friends would appoint
him to an important position in the new regime as his
good educational background and high stature among
army officers would help the promoters in
strengthening the revolution. Much to his
disappointment, he only got a minor position in the
Ministry of Education. Later, he was appointed to the
Directory of Military Operations after the four
military leaders were expelled by the coup carried out
by Phraya Manopakorn. However, the second coup
soon took over Phraya Manopakorn's government,
Phraya Sri Sitthi Songkhram subsequently became the
bitter enemy of the new government. In the rebellion,
he was assigned the task of inciting the Ayudhya
garrison and Borowadet’s second-in-command.

Early in October 1933, Prince Borowadet had
appeared in Korat to mobilize the armies to rebel. He
soon took complete control of Korat and got positive
responses from other provinces. The central
government had sensed the political storms in the
provinces, but was trapped by the antagonistic
elements in Bangkok. Bangkok started military
preparations for the upcoming insurrection. Businesses and organizations offered money and
volunteer services to help the defense. On 11 October
1933, under the leadership of Prince Borowadet, a
full-scale rebellion broke out at the provincial
garrison at Korat. The garrisons of
NakhonRatchasima, UbonRatchathani, Prachinburi,
Saraburi, Ayutthaya, NakhonSawan, and Phetburi one
after another declared themselves in favor of the
rebellion against the Bangkok government. They
issued their first ultimatum calling on the government
to resign immediately or be removed by force on the
same day. The legitimate government in Bangkok
refused to comply with their demands. The first clash
occurred on 11 October 1933 at Amphoe Pak Chong,
in NakhonRatchasima Province. The government
forces were defeated and several members of the
government were captured.

On 12 October, troops from the northeast of the
country marched on Bangkok, seized the Don Muang
airport, and entered the northern suburbs, occupied the
area around Bang Khen. The rebels consisting of the
Korat, Phetchaburi, and Udon Regiments, together
with a cavalry unit and several artillery batteries set up
a stronghold near the Lak Si train station, using
machine guns and cavalry.

On the afternoon of 13 October, Prince Borowadet sent
another ultimatum to the government because they
fared the people was establish The republic in Siam.
The rebel leaders backed down from their original
strong demand for government to resign because they
found some important armies in the provinces failed to
march to Bangkok and all the armies in Bangkok
remained loyal to the government. The second
ultimatum presents the following demands 1) The
Country shall be headed by the King forever 2) All
State affairs must be carried out in accordance with the
Constitution, especially the appointment and removal
of a member of the Council of Ministers, which can
only be made by a majority of votes 3) Permanent
public officials, both civil and military, shall not
intervene in politics 4) The appointment of public
officials shall be made with regard qualifications,
without political partiality 5) The second type
(non-elected)of the people's representatives shall be
appointed by the King (as opposed to the Prime
Minister) 6) Armaments for the Army shall be
provided everywhere, not gathered in any specific area
and 7) Amnesty shall be granted to the National
Rescue Council and all its supporters.

With the situation turning in the government's favor,
the government was unwilling to compromise with the
insurgents. Phraya Phahon, in his radio speech,
revealed the King's telegram expressing his regret for
the rebels’ action to appeal for popular support, which
got a warm response.

Civil war at Bangkok
The government appointed Lieutenant Colonel Luang
Phibulsonggram, one of the 1932 coup makers, to
command the Bangkok forces and take charge in the
speedy defeat of the rebels. On the 13 October, Phibun
launched a counterattack. He opened a heavy artillery
attack on the rebels’ positions. Phibun’s artillery forces
were better supplied than the exhausted rebels. And
they were supported in a non-combat capacity by Boy
Scouts, students, and labourers. For the next three days
the two opposing parties shelled each other, causing
many casualties and great damage. These were
supported by an armoured car and a tank commanded
by Phibun’s friend, Lieutenant Colonel Luang Amnui
Songkhram (Thom Kesakomon), who would later be
killed in combat. The government was able to drive the
rebels with the help of the Nakhon Sawan Regiment
and a declaration of the Prachinburi Regiment in
support of the government and joined the fight. This
broke the rebels’ morale, and on 14 October they began
to retreat. On the afternoon of the 16 October, the
government forces recaptured the Don Muang Airport
on the outskirts of the city and drove the rebel troops to
retreat along the northeastern railway line. Much
destruction was done to the infrastructure of Bangkok.
(railways and bridges) and the surrounding area, including the Don Mueang Aerodrome from artillery bombardment, bombings and fire.

On their desperate way to escape, the rebels sent an empty locomotive down the track at top speed to collide with the government troop carrier. The resultant crash killed a number of government soldiers and gave the rebels time to reach their base in Khorat (NakhonRatchasima Province). The government with superior forces (heavy artillery having been moved in by rail) was able to attack the rebel stronghold. Running out of ammunition and supplies, the rebels broke. Government forces pursued and advanced to the rebel base in NakhonRatchasima. By the end of October, the rebellion finally winded down in the provinces with the possibility of further reinforcement by other provincial garrisons. On 23 October, the government forces seriously defeated the rebel forces at the jungle of Hin Lap, and Boworadet's second-in-command, Phaya Sri SithiSongkram, died in the battle.

**Defeat of the rebellion and aftermath**

By the end of October, the remnants dispersed, and the royalist rebellion was over. The government broadcast a radio appeal to rebel troops to surrender and offered a ten thousand baht reward for the capture of Prince Boworadet. On 25 October Prince Boworadet and his wife suddenly boarded an aeroplane and left Siam for Vietnam (then part of French Indochina). When the news of his escape was known, PhyaSenaSonggram and other important leaders, now approaching Burirum province, became greatly disheartened and fled. Twenty-two of officers managed to flee the country and find asylum in French Indo-China.

Most of the rebel forces surrendered and granted amnesty except for important rebel leaders. Twenty-three lives had been lost in actions. The People's Party arrested the stragglers and eventually jailed 230 people including Prince Boworadet's younger brother, Prince Sinthiphorn. Two retired senior military officers were tired and executed. A royal prince was sentenced to life imprisonment. These later were sentenced to death, but later all the sentences were commuted, and no executions took place. Most sentences were later reduced and many were pardoned completely. Prince Boworadet received asylum in Cambodia, where he lived until 1948. He then returned home to Thailand, dying in 1953 at the age of 76.

Phraya Phahol's Government was able to further cement their grip on power. Although no direct evidence that Prajadhipok ever supported the rebellion, the result was nevertheless a blow to the King, as the rebels had claimed to be fighting in his name. The King's lack of leadership and indecisiveness showed when he only released a telegram saying that he regretted the strife and civil disturbances that occurred, and he and the Queen then left the capital for Songkla leaving the Government to deal with the rebellion. During this time he also failed to support his constitutional Government, which undermined his credibility and his perceived commitment to democracy and the constitutional system. This gave Phraya Phahol and the King's opponents reason to point that the monarchy has failed to do his duty. The series of events following the rebellion eventually led to the King's abdication in 1935. The rebellion also led to the estrangement of the aristocratic factions and families, which has served the Kingdom for centuries. They were viewed with distrust and would never again regained their power and position in Thai politics.

The People's Party had crushed the counter-revolution at the expense of Revolution's democratic potential. The promoters relied on a host of repressive measures to stave off the royalist challenge to the constitutional regime and in the immediate aftermath placed Siam onto the track to military dictatorship. The government then could arrest dissent in the excuse of their involvement in conspiracies against government. The press was controlled and a draconian "Act to protect the constitution" criminalised public expressions of disrespect for the constitution or the constitutional regime.

Pridi and his economic plan might be one of the reasons causing the turmoil. The accusation of him as a communist, the divided of the People's party and the first coup along with the rebellion squeezed his political power. The liberal and socialist civilian faction was weakened. Phahon's supporters won a clear mandate in the national elections. Ironically, absolute monarchy was eventually replaced by a military regime.

The rebellion was also seen as the beginning of the meteoric rise of a player in the rebellion. Lieutenant Colonel LuangPhibulsonggram, who became a hero. He became minister of defense in early 1934. Phibun gradually weakened his enemies and eventually eliminated them. He also built up his political constituency in the army forces. He replaced Phraya Phahon as Prime Minister from 1938–1944, and served again from 1948 to 1957. He is the longest serving Prime Minister in Thai history.

However, royalists suffered a serious setback, the democratic image of King was largely intact in the long term. Based on the easily obtained sources, one can hardly portray the figure of King Prajadhipok in too negative a light. Only by examining some revisionist resources, the disputed role he played in a series of events will come out. Because the revolutionary government turned into a military dictatorship, King's dissatisfaction with the promoters, his verbal attack of the government and his democratic rhetoric in the abdication statement earned him a democratic credibility.

Last but not least, King Prajadhipok's role in the
Boworadet Rebellion is still somewhat controversial among scholars. Some historians even did not mention the King's role in the rebellion other than the neutral standpoint he took at the beginning of the rebellion and the regret he expressed when the rebellion was quelled. Others took a much sympathetic view on King Prajadhipok's predicament during the rebellion.

CONCLUSION

The Siamese Revolution of June 24, 1932. The People's Party carried out a revolution (overthrowing the absolute monarchy in Siam,) which was regarded as a crucial turning point 20th-century Thai history. After the establishment of a new regime, The Boworadet rebellion - a series of anti-democracy crisis threatening the constitutional government. Fighting lasted from October 11 to 23 October, and resulted in the loyalist forces defeating as the rebels.
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